



United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER OF PATENTS AND TRADEMARKS
Washington, D.C. 20231
www.uspio.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
09/171,236	07/13/1999	NORIO HAGA		7804	
7:	590 10/03/2002				
Joseph R. Keating, Esq.			EXAMINER		
Keating & Beennett, LLP 10400 Eaton Place, Suite 312 Fairfax,, VA 22030		,	GOOD JOHNSON, MOTILEWA		
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
		•	2672	24	
			DATE MAILED: 10/03/2002	DATE MAILED: 10/03/2002	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

			Ü
•	Application No.	Applicant(s)	 /
₩	09/171,236	HAGA ET AL.	
Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Motilewa A. Good-Johnson	2672	
The MAILING DATE of this communication Period for Reply	appears on the cover sheet with t	he correspondence address	
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR RETHE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION - Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CF after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication - If the period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, - If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory provided in the second second status of the second	ON. FR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply lin. a reply within the statutory minimum of thirty (30 eriod will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS statute, cause the application to become ABAND	pe timely filed) days will be considered timely. from the mailing date of this communication. ONED (35 U.S.C. § 133).	
1) Responsive to communication(s) filed on	<u>22 July 2002</u> .		
2a) ☐ This action is FINAL . 2b) ☑	This action is non-final.		
3) Since this application is in condition for a closed in accordance with the practice ur Disposition of Claims	llowance except for formal matters nder <i>Ex parte Quayle</i> , 1935 C.D. 1	s, prosecution as to the merits is 1, 453 O.G. 213.	
4)⊠ Claim(s) 17-24 and 26-35 is/are pending	in the application.		
4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are with			
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.			
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>17-24 and 26-35</u> is/are rejected.			
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.			
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction a	nd/or election requirement.		
Application Papers			
9) The specification is objected to by the Example 1			
10)☐ The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a)☐ :			
Applicant may not request that any objection			
11) The proposed drawing correction filed on _		pproved by the Examiner.	
If approved, corrected drawings are required	•		
12) The oath or declaration is objected to by the	e Examiner.		
Priority under 35 U.S.C. §§ 119 and 120			
13) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for fo	oreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 1	19(a)-(d) or (f).	
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:			
Certified copies of the priority documents			
2. Certified copies of the priority docu			
3. Copies of the certified copies of the application from the Internation* See the attached detailed Office action for a second content of the certified of the certified of the certified copies of the cert	al Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).		
14) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for dor	mestic priority under 35 U.S.C. § 1	19(e) (to a provisional application).	
 a) The translation of the foreign languag 15) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for do 			
Attachment(s)			
 Notice of References Cited (PTO-892) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-94 Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449) Paper N 	.8) 5) Notice of Info	nmary (PTO-413) Paper No(s) rmal Patent Application (PTO-152)	
0.00			

DETAILED ACTION

- 1. This action is responsive to communications: application, filed on 07/13/1999; IDS, paper #4, filed on 02/04/1999; IDS, paper #7, filed on 11/01/1999; IDS, paper #10, filed on 09/06/2000; Amendment A, filed on 06/05/2001; IDS, paper # 18, filed on 06/13/2001; Amendment B, filed on 02/08/2002; Amendment C, filed on 07/22/2002.
- 2. Claims 17-24 and 26-35 are pending in this application. Claims 17, 27, 28 and 35 have been amended.
- The present title of the application is "Device and Method for Image Processing" (as originally filed).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

4. The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless -

(e) the invention was described in a patent granted on an application for patent by another filed in the United States before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent, or on an international application by another who has fulfilled the requirements of paragraphs (1), (2), and (4) of section 371(c) of this title before the invention thereof by the applicant for patent.

The changes made to 35 U.S.C. 102(e) by the American Inventors Protection Act of 1999 (AIPA) do not apply to the examination of this application as the application being examined was not (1) filed on or after November 29, 2000, or (2) voluntarily published under 35 U.S.C. 122(b). Therefore, this application is examined under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) prior to the amendment by the AIPA (pre-AIPA 35 U.S.C. 102(e)).

Art Unit: 2672

5. Claims 20-22 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Nishiumi et al., U.S. Patent Number 5,973,704, "Three-Dimensional Image Processing Apparatus", class 345/475.

As per independent claim 20, "an image processing device . . . characterized by comprising: determination means for determining whether or not said objects are in a specific area . . . and camera angle adjusting means for adjusting the angle of said virtual camera based on the results . . . Nishiumi discloses determining whether or not a object exists between the camera and an operable object position and if so altering the camera perspective, col. 1, lines 5-12, col. 12, lines 42-67 and figure 20.

With respect to dependent claim 21, "... camera angle adjusting means adjusts the angle of said virtual camera based on the results ... Nishiumi discloses said camera position changing based upon said detection, figure 20.

With respect to dependent claim 22, "... camera adjusting means adjusts the angle of said virtual camera in at least one of either the lateral and vertical directions ... Nishiumi discloses in col. 12, lines 55-67.

6. Claims 23-24 and 35 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Miyamoto et al., U.S. Patent Number 6,454,652, class 463/31.

As per independent claim 23, "an image processing device . . . comprising: determination means for determining whether or not said objects are in a specific area .

Art Unit: 2672

... and zoom adjusting means for adjusting the range of the field of vision ... Miyamoto discloses a range of camera modes which change based upon determining means which are the state of Mario, position of Mario, the condition of the terrain or ground, col. 35, lines 53-62 and further discloses if Mario exceeds a predetermined distance moving the camera to follow Mario, columns 37-38.

As per independent claim 24, "an image processing device . . . comprises: angle computing means for computing the angle between an eye direction vector showing the direction in which said virtual camera is facing an a normal line vector . . . and polygon tilting means for changing the coordinate values of the vertices of said polygons . . . Miyamoto discloses determining directionality of a polygon by the normal vector and eye point, col. 33, lines 48-67, and further discloses a tilt calculation for Mario, col. 44, lines 46-67.

As per independent claim 35, Miyamoto discloses polygons forming planes in a game and camera modes for causing the camera angle to change to enable for a more distant view, col. 34, lines 30-67, and further discloses extreme close-up.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

- 7. The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:
 - (a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negatived by the manner in which the invention was made.

Page 4

Art Unit: 2672

8. Claims 17-19, 27-28 and 31 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Han et al., "Character Image Restoration Based on Characteristic Points", IEEE,

As per independent claim 17, "an image processing method . . . characterized by comprising: polygons forming lines situated along a reference plane . . . polygons have a predetermined, fixed relationship to one another; determination means for determining the positional relationship . . . and polygon tilting means for tilting said polygons . . . Han et al. discloses improving images, polygons, that are distorted by vision angles and distance by using distortion transformations, such as scaling, rotation, translation and tilting, to retrieve the image. Han et al. further discloses investigating the relationship between the original image and the distorted image for characteristic points. However, it is noted that Han et al. fails to disclose a virtual camera. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention that the visual angle disclosed in Han for viewing images, would constitute a virtual camera, for viewing images.

With respect to dependent claim 18, ". . . reference plane is the ground, and said polygons are polygons forming lines situated on said ground." Han et al. discloses tilting as a projection of the original plane object or image onto a tilted plane, the disclosed projection of the original plane object corresponds to the ground plane and the image disclosed in figure 2B is representative of a polygon formed by lines.

With respect to dependent claim 19, ". . . polygons are quadrilateral, and said polygon tilting means modifies the coordinate values of the vertices on one of the sides

Art Unit: 2672

of mutually facing sides . . . Han et al. discloses restoring a tilted image plane having a coordinate plane scaled, rotated or translated from the original plane coordinate.

As per independent claim 27, it is rejected based upon similar rational as above independent claim 17.

As per independent claim 28, it is rejected based upon similar rational as above independent claim 17.

As per independent claim 31, it is rejected based upon similar rational as above independent claim 17.

9. Claims 29-30 and 32-34 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Han et al. as applied to claim 28 and 31 above, and further in view of Miyamoto.

With respect to dependent claim 29, "a game machine . . . for executing a game by situating objects in said virtual three-dimensional space and by controlling objects . . . Miyamoto discloses said game machine, col. 1, lines 50-67. However, it is noted that Han fails to disclose a game machine for situating objects. Han discloses transformations of images distorted by viewing angles. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention of Han et al., to include all utilizations of images and characters, such as in game machines, and other apparatus in which images or objects are visually obscured.

Page 6

With respect to dependent claim 30, "... game is a game in which objects are situated in a game field formed on a reference plane ... Miyamoto discloses in figure However, it is noted that Han fails to disclose a game machine for situating objects. Han discloses transformations of images distorted by viewing angles. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention of Han et al., to include all utilizations of images and characters, such as in game machines, and other apparatus in which images or objects are visually obscured.

With respect to dependent claim 32, "... polygons are polygons that show lines." Examiner takes official notice that a polygon is defined as a closed plane figure bounded by straight lines, thus making it inherent that lines would be shown.

With respect to dependent claim 33, situating objects in said virtual three-dimensional space and by controlling said objects . . . Miyamoto discloses a control button, col. 34, line 45. However, it is noted that Han fails to disclose controlling objects. It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art at the time of the invention of Han et al., to include control of objects in game machines in that they utilize images and characters.

With respect to dependent claim 34, "... polygons are polygons forming lines described on said plane." Examiner takes official notice that a polygon is defined as a closed plane figure bounded by straight lines.

Page 8

Application/Control Number: 09/171,236

Art Unit: 2672

Response to Arguments

10. Applicant's arguments with respect to claims 17-24 and 26-35 have been considered but are most in view of the new ground(s) of rejection.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Motilewa A. Good-Johnson whose telephone number is (703) 305-3939. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday - Friday 8:30 AM - 5:00 PM.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Mike Razavi can be reached on (703) 305-4713. The fax phone numbers for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned are (703) 872-9314 for regular communications and (703) 872-9314 for After Final communications.

Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the receptionist whose telephone number is (703) 306-0377.

Motilewa A. Good-Johnson

Examiner Art Unit 2672

mgj

September 27, 2002

MICHAEL RAZAVI SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

TECHNOLOGY CENTER 2600